

---

## COHESIVE POWERS OF COMPUTABLE STRUCTURES

RUMEN DIMITROV

We develop the notion of cohesive power  $\mathcal{B}$  of a computable structure  $\mathcal{A}$  over a cohesive set  $R$ . In the main theorem of this paper we prove certain connections between satisfaction of different formulas and sentences in the original model  $\mathcal{A}$  and its cohesive power  $\mathcal{B}$ . We also prove various facts about cohesive powers, isomorphisms between them and consider an example in which the structure  $\mathcal{A}$  is a computable field.

### 1. INTRODUCTION

In the study of the structure of the lattice  $\mathcal{L}^*(V_\infty)$  we came upon a field with elements that are partial computable functions. We noticed that the construction of the field had certain similarities with the classical model theoretic ultrapower construction. We are now studying similar structures in a more general setting. We introduce the notion of cohesive power of a computable structure and prove an analogue of the fundamental theorem for ultraproducts [1]) for cohesive powers. The connection of cohesive powers of computable fields and the structure of  $\mathcal{L}^*(V_\infty)$  is described in the concluding remarks.

A set  $R$  is cohesive if for every computably enumerable (c.e.) set  $W$  either  $W \cap R$  or  $\overline{W} \cap R$  is finite. There are continuum many cohesive subsets of  $\omega$ . There are cohesive sets with computably enumerable complements. The c.e. complements of such cohesive sets are called maximal. For a fixed computable structure  $\mathcal{A}$  and a cohesive set  $R$  we define the  $R$ -cohesive power  $\mathcal{B}$  of  $\mathcal{A}$ . The satisfaction of sentences in  $\mathcal{B}$  is connected to the existence of decision procedures for different segments of the complete diagram of  $\mathcal{A}$ . If  $\mathcal{A}$  is a decidable structure, then  $\mathcal{A}$  and  $\mathcal{B}$  will be

elementarily equivalent. If  $\mathcal{A}$  is computable then  $\mathcal{A}$  and  $\mathcal{B}$  satisfy the same  $\Pi_2$  and  $\Sigma_2$  sentences.

We will use  $\varphi_0, \varphi_1, \dots$  to refer to arbitrary partial computable (p.c.) functions. Also, we assume a fixed enumeration  $\phi_0, \phi_1, \dots$  of the (unary) partial computable functions. We will write  $\phi_{e,s}(x) = y$  if  $e, x, y < s$  and  $y$  is the result of the  $e$ -th computation on input  $x$  in less than  $s$  steps. In this case we will also write  $\phi_{e,s}(x) \downarrow$ . By  $\phi_e(x) \downarrow$  we mean that  $\exists s[\phi_{e,s}(x) = y]$ . The enumeration of the  $e$ -th c.e. set  $W_e = \text{dom}(\phi_e)$  is given as  $W_{e,s} = \text{dom}(\phi_{e,s})$ . We let use normal equality symbol  $=$  (instead of  $\simeq$ ) between partial computable functions. In definitions of p.c. functions we will assume that the function on the left side is defined when all of the elements on the right hand side are defined and the expression is acceptable for the particular values of the functions. For example,  $\varphi = \frac{\psi_1}{\psi_2}$  means that

$$\varphi(x) = \begin{cases} \frac{\psi_1(x)}{\psi_2(x)} & \text{if } \psi_1(x) \downarrow, \psi_2(x) \downarrow, \text{ and } \psi_2(x) \neq 0 \\ \text{undefined} & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

## 2. MAIN RESULT

Let  $\mathcal{A}$  be a computable structure over a fixed computable language  $L$  and let  $R \subset \omega$  be a cohesive set. If  $\Psi$  is a formula in  $L$ , then we will use  $\{x : \mathcal{A} \models \Psi(\varphi_1(x), \dots, \varphi_n(x))\}$  as a shorthand for

$$\{x : \exists s \exists t_1 \dots \exists t_n \left( \bigwedge_{i=1}^n (\varphi_{i,s}(x) = t_i) \wedge \mathcal{A} \models \Psi(t_1, \dots, t_n) \right)\}.$$

**Definition 2.1.** *The cohesive power of  $\mathcal{A}$  over  $R$  is a structure  $\mathcal{B}$  (denoted  $\prod_R \mathcal{A}$ ) in  $L$  such that:*

1.  $B = \{\varphi : \varphi \text{ is a p.c. function, } R \subseteq^* \text{dom}(\varphi), \text{rng}(\varphi) \subseteq A\} / \equiv_R$   
Here  $\varphi_1 \equiv_R \varphi_2$  if  $R \subseteq^* \{x : \varphi_1(x) \downarrow = \varphi_2(x) \downarrow\}$ . The equivalence class of  $\varphi$  w.r.t.  $\equiv_R$  will be denoted by  $[\varphi]_R$  or simply  $[\varphi]$  when the set  $R$  is fixed.
2. If  $f \in L$  is an  $n$ -ary functional symbol, then  $[f^{\mathcal{B}}([\varphi_1], \dots, [\varphi_n])]$  is the equivalence class of a p.c. function such that

$$f^{\mathcal{B}}([\varphi_1], \dots, [\varphi_n])(x) = f^{\mathcal{A}}(\varphi_1(x), \dots, \varphi_n(x)).$$

3. If  $P \in L$  is an  $m$ -ary predicate symbol, then  $P^{\mathcal{B}}$  is a relation such that

$$P^{\mathcal{B}}([\varphi_1], \dots, [\varphi_m]) \text{ iff } R \subseteq^* \{x : P^{\mathcal{A}}(\varphi_1(x), \dots, \varphi_m(x))\}.$$

4. If  $c \in L$  is a constant symbol. then the interpretation of  $c$  in  $\mathcal{B}$  is the equivalence class of the total computable function with constant value  $c^{\mathcal{A}}$ .

The domains of the partial computable functions in the definition above contain the set  $R$  and form a filter in the lattice  $\mathcal{E}$ . The role that the cohesiveness of  $R$  plays in the theorem below is similar to the role the maximality of the ultrafilter plays in the ultraproduct construction.

**Theorem 2.1.** (*Fundamental theorem of cohesive powers*)

1. If  $\tau(y_1, \dots, y_n)$  is a term in  $L$  and  $[\varphi_1], \dots, [\varphi_n] \in B$ , then  $[\tau^{\mathcal{B}}([\varphi_1], \dots, [\varphi_n])]$  is the equivalence class of a p.c. function such that  $\tau^{\mathcal{B}}([\varphi_1], \dots, [\varphi_n])(x) = \tau^{\mathcal{A}}(\varphi_1(x), \dots, \varphi_n(x))$ .
2. If  $\Phi(y_1, \dots, y_n)$  is a formula in  $L$  that is a boolean combination of  $\Sigma_1$  and  $\Pi_1$  formulas and  $[\varphi_1], \dots, [\varphi_n] \in B$ , then

$$B \models \Phi([\varphi_1], \dots, [\varphi_n]) \text{ iff } R \subseteq^* \{x : \mathcal{A} \models \Phi(\varphi_1(x), \dots, \varphi_n(x))\}.$$

3. If  $\Phi$  is a  $\Pi_3$  sentence in  $L$ , then  $B \models \Phi$  implies  $\mathcal{A} \models \Phi$ .
4. If  $\Phi$  is a  $\Pi_2$  (or  $\Sigma_2$ ) sentence in  $L$ , then  $B \models \Phi$  iff  $\mathcal{A} \models \Phi$ .

*Proof.* (1) The proof is straightforward but we note that we essentially use the fact that the operations in  $\mathcal{A}$  are computable.

(2) We proceed by induction:

(2.1) Let  $\Phi(y_1, \dots, y_n) = P(\tau_1(y_1, \dots, y_n), \dots, \tau_m(y_1, \dots, y_n))$  be an atomic formula and suppose  $[\psi_i] = \tau_i^{\mathcal{B}}([\varphi_1], \dots, [\varphi_n])$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned} B \models \Phi([\varphi_1], \dots, [\varphi_n]) \\ \text{iff} \\ B \models P([\psi_1], \dots, [\psi_m]) \\ \text{iff} \\ R \subseteq^* \{x : \mathcal{A} \models P(\psi_1(x), \dots, \psi_m(x))\} \\ \text{iff} \\ R \subseteq^* \{x : \mathcal{A} \models \Phi(\varphi_1(x), \dots, \varphi_m(x))\} \end{aligned}$$

(2.2) Suppose  $\Phi(y_1, \dots, y_n) = \Phi_1(y_1, \dots, y_n) \wedge \Phi_2(y_1, \dots, y_n)$  and the claim is true for  $\Phi_i(y_1, \dots, y_n)$   $i = 1, 2$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned} B \models \Phi([\varphi_1], \dots, [\varphi_n]) \\ \text{iff} \\ B \models \Phi_1([\varphi_1], \dots, [\varphi_n]) \text{ and } B \models \Phi_2([\varphi_1], \dots, [\varphi_n]) \\ \text{iff} \\ R \subseteq^* \{x : \mathcal{A} \models \Phi_1(\varphi_1(x), \dots, \varphi_n(x))\} \text{ and} \\ R \subseteq^* \{x : \mathcal{A} \models \Phi_2(\varphi_1(x), \dots, \varphi_n(x))\} \end{aligned}$$

iff

$$R \subseteq^* \{x : \mathcal{A} \models \Phi(\varphi_1(x), \dots, \varphi_n(x))\}.$$

(2.3) Suppose  $\Phi(y_1, \dots, y_n) = \exists y \Psi(y, y_1, \dots, y_n)$  and  $\Psi(y, y_1, \dots, y_n)$  is a quantifier free formula for which the claim is true.

(2.3a) Suppose  $\mathcal{B} \models \exists y \Psi(y, [\varphi_1], \dots, [\varphi_n])$  and suppose that the p.c. function  $\varphi$  is such that  $\mathcal{B} \models \Psi([\varphi], [\varphi_1], \dots, [\varphi_n])$ . By the inductive hypothesis  $R \subseteq^* \{x : \mathcal{A} \models \Psi(\varphi(x), \varphi_1(x), \dots, \varphi_n(x))\}$  and so

$$R \subseteq^* \{x : \mathcal{A} \models \exists y \Psi(y, \varphi_1(x), \dots, \varphi_n(x))\}.$$

(2.3b) Suppose  $R \subseteq^* \{x : \mathcal{A} \models \exists y \Psi(y, \varphi_1(x), \dots, \varphi_n(x))\}$ . Since the structure  $\mathcal{A}$  is computable and  $\Psi(y, y_1, \dots, y_n)$  is quantifier free we can define a partial computable

$$\varphi(x) = \mu y \in A [\mathcal{A} \models \Psi(y, \varphi_1(x), \dots, \varphi_n(x))].$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned} \{x : \mathcal{A} \models \exists y \Psi(y, \varphi_1(x), \dots, \varphi_n(x))\} = \\ \{x : \mathcal{A} \models \Psi(\varphi(x), \varphi_1(x), \dots, \varphi_n(x))\} \end{aligned}$$

and  $R \subseteq^* \{x : \mathcal{A} \models \Psi(\varphi(x), \varphi_1(x), \dots, \varphi_n(x))\}$ . By the inductive hypothesis  $\mathcal{B} \models \Psi([\varphi], [\varphi_1], \dots, [\varphi_n])$  and so  $\mathcal{B} \models \exists y \Psi(y, [\varphi_1], \dots, [\varphi_n])$ .

(2.4) Suppose  $\Phi(y_1, \dots, y_n) = \neg \Psi(y_1, \dots, y_n)$  and  $\Psi(y_1, \dots, y_n)$  is a  $\Sigma_1$  formula for which the hypothesis is true.

(2.4a) Suppose  $\mathcal{B} \models \Phi([\varphi_1], \dots, [\varphi_n])$  and let

$$D = \{x : \mathcal{A} \models \Psi(\varphi_1(x), \dots, \varphi_n(x))\}.$$

Since  $\mathcal{B} \not\models \Psi([\varphi_1], \dots, [\varphi_n])$ , then  $R \not\subseteq^* D$ . Because  $\Psi(y_1, \dots, y_n)$  is a  $\Sigma_1$  formula and  $\varphi_i$  for  $i \leq n$  are p.c., then  $D$  is a c.e. set. Since  $R$  is cohesive we have  $R \cap D =^* \emptyset$ . Also, since  $R \subseteq^* \bigcap_{i=1}^n \text{dom}(\varphi_i)$ , then for almost all  $x \in R$  we have  $\mathcal{A} \not\models \Psi(\varphi_1(x), \dots, \varphi_n(x))$ . Therefore  $R \subseteq^* \{x : \mathcal{A} \models \neg \Psi(\varphi_1(x), \dots, \varphi_n(x))\}$ .

(2.4b) Suppose  $R \subseteq^* \{x : \mathcal{A} \models \neg \Psi(\varphi_1(x), \dots, \varphi_n(x))\}$ . Then

$$R \cap \{x : \mathcal{A} \models \Psi(\varphi_1(x), \dots, \varphi_n(x))\} =^* \emptyset$$

and by the inductive hypothesis  $\mathcal{B} \not\models \Psi([\varphi_1], \dots, [\varphi_n])$ . Therefore

$$\mathcal{B} \models \neg \Psi([\varphi_1], \dots, [\varphi_n]).$$

(3) Let  $\Phi = \forall y \exists z \forall t \Psi(y, z, t)$  where  $\Psi(y, z, t)$  is a quantifier free formula. Let  $c \in A$  be arbitrary and let  $\varphi_c(x) = c$  for every  $x \in \omega$ . Let  $[\varphi] \in B$  be such that  $\mathcal{B} \models \forall t \Psi([\varphi_c], [\varphi], t)$ . By (2) above we have  $R \subseteq^* \{x : \mathcal{A} \models \forall t \Psi(\varphi_c(x), \varphi(x), t)\}$ .

Then  $R \subseteq^* \{x : \mathcal{A} \models \exists z \forall t \Psi(c, z, t)\}$ . The set  $R$  is nonempty and  $x$  is not a free variable of  $\exists z \forall t \Psi(c, z, t)$ . Therefore  $\mathcal{A} \models \exists z \forall t \Psi(c, z, t)$  and so  $\mathcal{A} \models \Phi$ .

(4) Let  $\Phi = \forall y \exists z \Psi(y, z)$  where  $\Psi(y, z)$  is a quantifier free formula.

(4a) The fact, that  $\mathcal{A} \models \Phi$  whenever  $\mathcal{B} \models \Phi$ , follows from (3).

(4b) Suppose that  $\mathcal{A} \models \Phi$  and let  $[\varphi] \in B$  be arbitrary. We have that  $R \subseteq^* \text{dom}(\varphi) = \{x : \mathcal{A} \models \exists z \Psi(\varphi(x), z)\}$ . By (2),  $\mathcal{B} \models \exists z \Psi([\varphi], z)$  and so  $\mathcal{B} \models \Phi$ .  $\square$

Note that if the structure  $\mathcal{A}$  is decidable, then we can similarly prove the following:

**Theorem 2.2.** *If  $\mathcal{A}$  is a decidable structure, then*

1. *If  $\Phi(y_1, \dots, y_n)$  is a formula in  $L$ , and  $[\varphi_1], \dots, [\varphi_n] \in B$ , then*

$$\mathcal{B} \models \Phi([\varphi_1], \dots, [\varphi_n]) \text{ iff } R \subseteq^* \{x : \mathcal{A} \models \Phi(\varphi_1(x), \dots, \varphi_n(x))\}.$$

2. *If  $\Phi$  is a sentence, then*

$$\mathcal{B} \models \Phi \text{ iff } \mathcal{A} \models \Phi.$$

*Proof.* (1) The proof is almost identical to the proof of part (2) of the main theorem. We note only that for any formula  $\Psi(y_1, \dots, y_n)$  the set  $\{(a_1, \dots, a_n) : \mathcal{A} \models \Psi(a_1, \dots, a_n)\}$  is computable. Then the set  $\{x : \mathcal{A} \models \Psi(\varphi_1(x), \dots, \varphi_n(x))\}$  is c.e. and steps 2.3 and 2.4 of the proof above can be carried for any formula  $\Psi$ .

(2) Follows directly from (1).  $\square$

**Definition 2.2.** *For  $c \in A$  let  $[\varphi_c] \in B$  be the equivalence class of the total function  $\varphi_c$  such that  $\varphi_c(x) = c$  for every  $x \in \omega$ . The map  $d : A \rightarrow B$  such that  $d(c) = [\varphi_c]$  is called the canonical embedding of  $\mathcal{A}$  into  $\mathcal{B}$ .*

**Proposition 2.1.** *The following hold:*

1. *If the structure  $\mathcal{A}$  is finite, then  $\mathcal{B} \cong \mathcal{A}$ .*

2. *If the structure  $\mathcal{A}$  is decidable, then the canonical map  $d$  is an elementary embedding of  $\mathcal{A}$  into  $\mathcal{B}$ .*

3. *If  $\Phi(y_1, \dots, y_n)$  is a  $\Pi_2$  or a  $\Sigma_2$  formula in  $L$  and  $c_1, \dots, c_n \in A$ , then*

$$\mathcal{A} \models \Phi(c_1, \dots, c_n) \text{ iff } \mathcal{B} \models \Phi(d(c_1), \dots, d(c_n)).$$

*Proof.* (1) Let  $[\varphi] \in B$  be arbitrary. For any  $c \in A$  let  $X_c = \{x : \varphi(x) = c\}$  and notice that  $X_c$  is a c.e. set. Since  $\text{dom}(\varphi) = \bigcup_{c \in A} X_c$  and  $A$  is finite, then for some  $c_1 \in A$  the set  $X_{c_1} \cap R$  is infinite. Since  $R$  is cohesive we have  $R \subseteq^* X_{c_1}$  and therefore  $[\varphi] = [\varphi_{c_1}]$ . Therefore all equivalence classes in  $B$  correspond to the constants in  $A$  and the canonical embedding of  $\mathcal{A}$  into  $\mathcal{B}$  is a 1-1 map. So  $\mathcal{B} \cong \mathcal{A}$  follows directly from the definition of  $\mathcal{B}$ .

(2) Let  $\Phi(y_1, \dots, y_n)$  be a  $\Sigma_2$  (or  $\Pi_2$ ) formula and let  $c_1, \dots, c_n \in A$ . If  $\mathcal{A}$  is decidable, then

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{B} \models \Phi([\varphi_{c_1}], \dots, [\varphi_{c_n}]) &\text{ iff} \\ R \subseteq^* \{x : \mathcal{A} \models \Phi(c_1, \dots, c_n)\} &\text{ iff} \\ \mathcal{A} \models \Phi(c_1, \dots, c_n). \end{aligned}$$

(3) Let  $c_1, \dots, c_n \in A$  and let  $L_C = L \cup \{c_1, \dots, c_n\}$  be the language  $L$  expanded by adding a constant symbol for each  $c_i$ . Let  $\mathcal{A}_C$  be the structure  $\mathcal{A}$  with the constant symbols  $c_1, \dots, c_n$  interpreted as  $c_1, \dots, c_n$  correspondingly. Let  $\mathcal{B}_C$  be the  $R$ -cohesive power of  $\mathcal{A}_C$ . Then  $\Phi(c_1, \dots, c_n)$  will be a  $\Sigma_2$  (or  $\Pi_2$ ) sentence in  $L_C$  and by the Fundamental theorem part (4)

$$\mathcal{A}_C \models \Phi(c_1, \dots, c_n) \text{ iff } \mathcal{B}_C \models \Phi(c_1, \dots, c_n)$$

which is equivalent to

$$\mathcal{A} \models \Phi(c_1, \dots, c_n) \text{ iff } \mathcal{B} \models \Phi(d(c_1), \dots, d(c_n)). \square$$

**Definition 2.3.** Two sets  $A, B$  have the same 1-degree up to  $=^*$  (denoted  $A \equiv_1^* B$ ) if there are  $A_1 =^* A$  and  $B_1 =^* B$  such that  $A_1 \equiv_1 B_1$ .

**Proposition 2.2.** If  $M_1 \equiv_1^* M_2$  are maximal sets,  $\mathcal{B}_1 = \prod_{M_1} \mathcal{A}$  and  $\mathcal{B}_2 = \prod_{M_2} \mathcal{A}$  then  $\mathcal{B}_1 \cong \mathcal{B}_2$ .

*Proof.* Let  $M'_i =^* M_i$  for  $i = 1, 2$  be such that  $M'_1 \equiv_1 M'_2$ . Let  $\mathcal{B}'_i = \prod_{M'_i} \mathcal{A}$  and notice that  $\mathcal{B}'_i \cong \mathcal{B}_i$  for  $i = 1, 2$ . Using Myhill Isomorphism Theorem (see [6, p.24]) we let  $\sigma$  be a computable permutation of  $\omega$  such that  $\sigma(M'_1) = M'_2$ . Define a map  $\Phi : \mathcal{B}'_2 \rightarrow \mathcal{B}'_1$  as follows:

$\Phi([\psi]) = [\varphi]$  where  $\varphi(x) = \psi(\sigma(x))$ . We now prove that  $\Phi$  is an isomorphism of  $\mathcal{B}'_2$  and  $\mathcal{B}'_1$ :

(1) Notice that  $\psi_1 =_{M'_2} \psi_2$  iff  $\overline{M'_2} \subseteq^* \{x : \psi_1(x) = \psi_2(x)\}$  iff  $\overline{M'_1} \subseteq^* \{x : \psi_1(\sigma(x)) = \psi_2(\sigma(x))\}$  iff  $\Phi(\psi_1) =_{M'_1} \Phi(\psi_2)$ . So  $\Phi$  is correctly defined and injective. Finally, if  $[\varphi] \in \mathcal{B}'_1$  and  $\psi(x) = \varphi(\sigma^{-1}(x))$ , then  $\Phi([\psi]) = [\varphi]$ .

(2) Let  $f \in L$  be an  $n$ -ary functional symbol.

Then  $\Phi([f^{\mathcal{B}'_2}([\psi_1], \dots, [\psi_n])])$  is the equivalence class of a p.c. function such that  $\Phi([f^{\mathcal{B}'_2}([\psi_1], \dots, [\psi_n])])(x) = f^{\mathcal{A}}(\psi_1(\sigma(x)), \dots, \psi_n(\sigma(x)))$ .

That means that  $\Phi([f^{\mathcal{B}'_2}([\psi_1], \dots, [\psi_n])]) = [f^{\mathcal{B}'_1}(\Phi([\psi_1]), \dots, \Phi([\psi_n]))]$ .

(3) If  $P \in L$  is an  $m$ -ary predicate symbol, then

$P^{\mathcal{B}'_2}([\psi_1], \dots, [\psi_n])$  iff

$\overline{M'_2} \subseteq^* \{x : P^{\mathcal{A}}(\psi_1(x), \dots, \psi_m(x))\}$  iff

$\overline{M'_1} \subseteq^* \{x : P^{\mathcal{A}}(\psi_1(\sigma(x)), \dots, \psi_m(\sigma(x)))\}$  iff

$P^{\mathcal{B}'_1}(\Phi([\psi_1]), \dots, \Phi([\psi_n]))$ .  $\square$

**Proposition 2.3.** *Every computable automorphism of  $\mathcal{A}$  can be extended to an automorphism of  $\mathcal{B}$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $\sigma$  be a computable automorphism of  $\mathcal{A}$ . Define a map  $\tilde{\sigma}$  on  $B$  as follows:

$$\tilde{\sigma}([\varphi]) = [\psi]$$

where  $\psi(n) = \sigma(\varphi(n))$ . The proof that  $\tilde{\sigma}$  is an automorphism of  $\mathcal{B}$  is straightforward. Notice also that if  $c \in A$  and  $\varphi(n) = c$  for almost every  $n \in R$ , then  $\tilde{\sigma}([\varphi])(n)$  is the constant  $\sigma(c)$  for almost every  $n \in R$ .  $\square$

**Example.** Let  $F$  be a computable field and let  $I$  be a maximal set. Then  $\tilde{F} = \prod_{\bar{I}} F$  is a field such that:

1.  $\tilde{F} \cong F$  if  $F$  is finite,
2. If  $[\varphi] \in \tilde{F}$  is algebraic over  $F$ , then  $\varphi$  is a constant function on  $\bar{I}$ .
3. Every computable automorphism  $\sigma$  of  $F$  can be extended naturally to an automorphism  $\tilde{\sigma}$  of  $\tilde{F}$ .

*Proof.* We will prove only (2), (1) and (3) follow directly from the propositions above. Suppose  $[\varphi] \in \tilde{F}$  is root of a polynomial  $g(x) \in F[x]$ . Extend the language of  $F$  by adding new constants for each coefficient of the polynomial  $g$ . Let  $\tilde{F}_1$  be the cohesive power of  $F$  over  $\bar{I}$  in the extended language. By the fundamental theorem of cohesive powers we have

$$\tilde{F}_1 \models (g([\varphi]) = 0^{\tilde{F}_1}) \text{ iff } \bar{I} \subseteq^* \{x : F \models (g(\varphi(x)) = 0^F)\}.$$

This means that  $\varphi(x) \in F$  is a root of the polynomial  $g(x)$  for almost every  $x \in \bar{I}$ . Since  $g(x)$  can have finitely many roots, then  $C = \{c : \exists x[(\varphi(x) = c) \wedge (g(c) = 0)]\}$  is finite. For each  $c \in C$  let  $X_c = \{x : \varphi(x) = c\}$ . Notice that  $X_c$  is c.e.. Using the fact that  $\bar{I}$  is cohesive we notice that

$$\forall c_1, c_2 \in C [c_1 \neq c_2 \rightarrow (|X_{c_1} \cap \bar{I}| < \infty \text{ or } |X_{c_2} \cap \bar{I}| < \infty)].$$

Since  $C$  is finite this implies that for some  $c \in C$  we will have  $\bar{I} \subseteq^* X_c$ . This means that  $[\varphi]$  is the equivalence class of a function that has value  $c$  on  $\bar{I}$ .  $\square$

### 3. CONCLUDING REMARKS

As we mentioned an example of cohesive powers appears naturally in the study of the structure of the lattice of subspaces of the fully effective vector space  $V_\infty$  over a computable field  $F$ . The lattice of computably enumerable subspaces of  $V_\infty$  modulo finite dimension is denoted  $\mathcal{L}^*(V_\infty)$ . The study of  $V_\infty$  was initiated by Metakides and Nerode in [5]. The lattice  $\mathcal{L}^*(V_\infty)$  is an interesting modular analog of  $\mathcal{E}^*$ , the extensively studied (see [6]) lattice of c.e. sets modulo finite sets. Different cohesive powers of the field  $F$  appear (see [3]) in the characterization of principal filters of closures of quasimaximal sets.

Let  $Q = \bigcap_{i=1}^n I_i$  where  $I_i$  ( $i \leq n$ ) are maximal subsets of  $I_0$ —a fixed computable basis of  $V_\infty$ . Suppose that  $I_i$  ( $i \leq n$ ) are partitioned into  $k$  equivalence classes with respect to the relation  $\equiv_1^*$  of having the same 1-degree up to  $=^*$ . Suppose that the  $i$ -th equivalence class has  $n_i$  elements. In [3] we proved that the principal filter in  $\mathcal{L}^*(V_\infty)$  of the linear span of  $Q$  is isomorphic to the product of the lattices  $(\mathcal{L}(n_i, \tilde{F}_i))_{i=1}^k$ . Here  $\mathcal{L}(n_i, \tilde{F}_i)$  is the lattice of subspaces of an  $n_i$ -dimensional vector space over a field  $\tilde{F}_i$ . The field  $\tilde{F}_i$  is the cohesive power of  $F$  w.r.t. a cohesive set  $R_i$  that is the complement of a maximal set from the  $i$ -th equivalence class described above.

### REFERENCES

1. Chang, C. C., H. J. Kiesler. Model Theory, 5th edition, Elsevier Science, 1998.
2. Dimitrov, R. D. Quasimaximality and Principal Filters Isomorphism between  $\mathcal{E}^*$  and  $\mathcal{L}^*(V_\infty)$ . *Archive for Mathematical Logic* **43**, 2004, 415-424.
3. Dimitrov, R. D., A Class of  $\Sigma_3^0$  Modular Lattices Embeddable as Principal Filters in  $\mathcal{L}^*(V_\infty)$ . submitted to *Archive for Mathematical Logic*.
4. Feferman, S., R. L. Vaught. The 1st-order properties of products of algebraic systems. *Fundamenta Mathematicae*, **47**, 1959, 57-103.
5. Metakides, G., A. Nerode. Recursively enumerable vector spaces. *Annals of Mathematical Logic*, **11**, 1977, 147-171.



6. Soare, R. I. *Recursively Enumerable Sets and Degrees. A Study of Computable Functions and Computably Generated Sets.* Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1987.

*Received on October 2, 2006*

Department of Mathematics  
Western Illinois University  
Macomb, IL 61455  
USA  
E-mail: rd-dimitrov@wiu.edu