# ГОДИШНИК НА СОФИЙСКИЯ УНИВЕРСИТЕТ "СВ. КЛИМЕНТ ОХРИДСКИ" ФАКУЛТЕТ ПО МАТЕМАТИКА И ИНФОРМАТИКА Том 95

ANNUAIRE DE L'UNIVERSITE DE SOFIA "ST. KLIMENT OHRIDSKI"

FACULTE DE MATHEMATIQUES ET INFORMATIQUE

Tome 95

# GENERICITY IN ABSTRACT STRUCTURE DEGREES

### VERA BOUTCHKOVA

The generalized notion of genericity in the theory of abstract structure degrees is used to obtain a characterization of abstractly generic predicate of natural numbers as the preimage of some predicate of the denumerable set N and generic regular enumeration.

Keywords: genericity, enumerations

2000 MSC: 03D75

### 1. INTRODUCTION

In this paper we deal with *search-computability*, defined by Moschovakis in [2], though for the proofs of most of the propositions we have used the Skordev's definition of search-computability, in [3] Skordev has proved both are equivalent.

The idea of considering two-sort structures was presented by I. N. Soskov during the cycle of lectures at the Seminar on Computability Theory at Sofia University, 1998. The abstract structure degrees were defined also by him during the same seminar, as well as their regular enumerations.

The first sort of the mentioned two-sort abstract structures is an arbitrary denumerable set and the other one is the set of natural numbers. The presence of the equality among the basic predicates of the structure is required.

In these terms we present an analogue of some notions from the theory of the enumeration degrees, namely the set genericity and the related results, applying the techniques used by Copestake in [1]. We generalize the characterization obtained in [6], stating that a set of natural numbers is generic relatively a set B if and only

if it is the preimage of some set A, using a B-generic B-regular enumeration such that both A and its complement are e-reducible to B.

Here we introduce the notion of genericity for abstract predicates. Using the enumerations of two-sort abstract structures (in the way they are used in [4]), we obtain a characterization of this type of abstract genericity, which claims that a predicate A of natural numbers is generic relatively the two-sort abstract structure  $\mathfrak{B}$  with one predicate if and only if there exist a predicate  $\Sigma$  on the first sort, which is search computable in  $\mathfrak{B}$ , and a  $\mathfrak{B}$ -generic regular enumeration f, such that  $A = f_N^{-1}(\Sigma)$ .

This paper is a part of the author's Master's Thesis, supervised by I. Soskov.

### 2. PRELIMINARIES

We use some standard definitions and notations:  $\leq_e$  denotes the enumeration reducibility between sets and  $\Psi_e$  denotes the e-th enumeration operator, i.e.  $\Psi_e(B) = \{x \mid \exists v (\langle x, v \rangle \in W_e \& D_v \subseteq B)\}$ , where  $W_e$  is the recursively enumerable set with Gödel code e, B is a set of natural numbers and  $D_v$  is the finite set with code v. Recall the *join* operation for sets of naturals:  $A \oplus B$  is the set  $\{2x \mid x \in A\} \cup \{2x+1 \mid x \in B\}$ , used to induce the least upper bound of the e-degrees of A and B.

Given a countable set N and  $0^* \notin N$ ,  $N^*$  denotes the Moschovakis' extension of N, i.e. the smallest extension of  $N \cup \{0^*\}$  closed under the operation ordered pair  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$  (we will use the same notation for effective coding of pairs of natural numbers);  $\omega$  denotes the set of the natural numbers and  $\omega^* \subseteq N^*$  is the set of elements  $0^*, \ldots, (n+1)^*, \ldots$ , such that  $(n+1)^* = \langle 0^*, n^* \rangle \in \omega^*$ . By  $\mathcal{F}$  we denote the set of one-argument partial functions  $\varphi : N^* \longrightarrow N^*$ . We write  $\varphi \in \mathbf{SC}(\varphi_1, \ldots, \varphi_n)$  to say that  $\varphi$  is search computable in the set of functions  $\{\varphi_1, \ldots, \varphi_n\} \subseteq \mathcal{F}$  (see [3]).

From now on, we consider the abstract partial two-sort structures:

$$\mathfrak{A} = \langle N, \omega; =_N, \neq_N; \Sigma_1, \ldots, \Sigma_k \rangle,$$

with two fixed basic predicates in  $N^2$ :  $=_N$  (equality) and  $\neq_N$  (inequality), and partial predicates  $\Sigma_i \subseteq N^{a_i} \times \omega^{b_i}$  such that  $a_i, b_i \geq 0$ , but not both being zero. This kind of structures will be denoted by  $\mathfrak{A}(\Sigma_1, \ldots, \Sigma_k)$ .

The notation  $\Sigma_0 \leq_{\mathbf{SC}} \mathfrak{A}$  says that  $\Sigma_0$  is search computable in the set of  $\mathfrak{A}$ 's predicates, including the equality and inequality, i.e.  $\widehat{\Sigma}_0 \in \mathbf{SC}(\widehat{\Sigma}_1^{\mathfrak{A}}, \dots, \widehat{\Sigma}_k^{\mathfrak{A}}, \widehat{=}_N, \widehat{\neq}_N)$  (we also write  $\widehat{\Sigma}_0 \in \mathbf{SC}(\mathfrak{A})$ ), where  $\widehat{\Sigma}: N^* \longrightarrow N^*$  is the *semi-characteristic* function of the predicate.

Soskov has defined  $\mathfrak{A} \oplus \mathfrak{B}$  to be the two-sort structure with predicates  $=_N$ ,  $\neq_N$ ,  $\Sigma_1^{\mathfrak{A}}$ , ...,  $\Sigma_{k_{\mathfrak{A}}}^{\mathfrak{A}}$ ,  $\Sigma_1^{\mathfrak{B}}$ , ...,  $\Sigma_{k_{\mathfrak{B}}}^{\mathfrak{B}}$ ;  $\mathfrak{A} \leq_{\mathbf{SC}} \mathfrak{B}$  if and only if  $\forall i_{(1 \leq i \leq k_{\mathfrak{A}})}$ :  $\Sigma_i^{\mathfrak{A}} \leq_{\mathbf{SC}} \mathfrak{B}$ , and  $\mathfrak{A} \equiv_{\mathbf{SC}} \mathfrak{B}$  if and only if  $\mathfrak{A} \leq_{\mathbf{SC}} \mathfrak{B}$  and  $\mathfrak{B} \leq_{\mathbf{SC}} \mathfrak{A}$ .

**Definition 2.1 (Soskov).** The abstract structure degrees are the equivalence classes induced by the relation  $\equiv_{\mathbf{SC}}$  between structures. We denote them by  $\mathfrak{a}, \mathfrak{b}, \mathfrak{c}...$  and for every  $\mathfrak{a}$  and  $\mathfrak{b}$  in  $\mathfrak{D}$ ,  $\mathfrak{a} \cup \mathfrak{b} = \mathfrak{D}_s(\mathfrak{A} \oplus \mathfrak{B})$  for some  $\mathfrak{A} \in \mathfrak{a}$  and  $\mathfrak{B} \in \mathfrak{b}$ .

We write  $\mathfrak{D}$  for the set of all abstract structure degrees with the partial ordering induced by  $\leq_{\mathbf{SC}}$ . Thus the structure  $\langle \mathfrak{D}, \leq_{\mathbf{SC}}, \cup, \mathfrak{D} \rangle$  is an upper semi-lattice with a least element the empty structure  $\mathfrak{D} = \langle N; \omega; =_N, \neq_N \rangle$ .

At the Seminar on Computability Theory in 1998, I. Soskov introduced the following definition of search computability and proved its equivalence with the standard ones (see [2] and [3]):

$$\mathfrak{A} \leq_{\mathbf{SC}} \mathfrak{B} \text{ iff } \forall \alpha (\mathfrak{B} \leq \alpha \Rightarrow \mathfrak{A} \leq \alpha),$$

where  $\alpha = (f, R)$  is an enumeration structure and  $\mathfrak{A} \leq \alpha$  if and only if  $f^{-1}(\mathfrak{A}) \leq_e R$ . Here we shall use it for a single predicate  $\Sigma \subseteq N^{a_i} \times \omega^{b_i}$  in the following particular form:

$$\Sigma \leq_{\mathbf{SC}} \mathfrak{A} \text{ iff } (f_N^{-1}(\Sigma) \leq_e f_N^{-1}(\mathfrak{A}), \text{ for every } N\text{-enumeration } f_N),$$
 (2.1)

where  $f_N: \omega \to N$  is a total and surjective function that we shall call N-enumeration,  $f_N^{-1}(\Sigma) = \{\langle x_1, \ldots, x_a, y_1, \ldots, y_b \rangle \in \omega \mid (f_N(x_1), \ldots, f_N(x_a), y_1, \ldots, y_b) \in \Sigma \}$ , and for the structure  $\mathfrak{A} = \langle N, \omega; =_N, \neq_N; \Sigma_1, \ldots, \Sigma_k \rangle$  the preimage  $f_N^{-1}(\mathfrak{A})$  is defined in such a way that it is e-equivalent to

$$f_N^{-1}(\Sigma_1) \oplus, \ldots, \oplus f_N^{-1}(\Sigma_k) \oplus f_N^{-1}(=_N) \oplus f_N^{-1}(\neq_N).$$

## 3. ENUMERATIONS

Many of the definitions and the proofs from [4] concerning the enumeration approach and the normal form theorem are applicable in our case. We recall them in order to use them later in Section 4 and for the characterization in Section 5.

**Definition 3.1.** 1) N-string  $\tau_N$  is a finite function  $\tau_N : [0, \dots, n-1] \to N$ , with domain an initial segment of  $\omega$  with length  $lh(\tau_N) = n$ .

We shall call the strings used in [6]  $\omega$ -strings, i.e. an  $\omega$ -string is a finite sequence of naturals meant to be an initial segment of  $\omega$ .

- 2)  $\tau_N \subseteq \sigma_N$  iff  $\forall x (x < lh(\tau_N) \Rightarrow \tau_N(x) = \sigma_N(x))$ .
- 3) Code of the N-string  $\tau_N$  is defined to be  $\lceil \tau_N \rceil = \langle n^*, \tau_N(0), \dots, \tau_N(n-1) \rangle$ .

**Definition 3.2** ([4]). For a structure  $\mathfrak{A}(\Sigma_1,\ldots,\Sigma_k)$  with  $\Sigma_i\subseteq N^{a_i}\times\omega^{b_i}$ , an N-string  $\tau_N$  and a formula  $F_e(z)$  with  $e,z\in\omega$ , define the forcing relation  $\tau_n\Vdash_{\mathfrak{A}} F_e(z)$  as follows:

- (1)  $\tau_N \Vdash_{\mathfrak{A}} F_e(z)$  iff  $\exists v (\langle v, z \rangle \in W_e \& \tau_n \Vdash_{\mathfrak{A}} D_v);$
- $(2) \ \tau_{N} \Vdash_{\mathfrak{A}} D_{v} \ \text{iff} \ \forall u \in D_{v}(u = \langle i, \langle x_{1}, \dots, x_{a_{i}}, y_{1}, \dots, y_{b_{i}} \rangle) \ \& \\ 1 \leq i \leq k \ \& \ x_{1} \dots x_{a_{i}} \in Dom(\tau_{N}) \ \& (\tau_{N}(x_{1}) \dots \tau_{N}(x_{a_{i}}), y_{1}, \dots, y_{b_{i}}) \in \Sigma_{i} \lor \ u = \\ \langle 0, 2\langle x, y \rangle \rangle \ \& \ x, y \in Dom(\tau_{N}) \ \& \ \tau_{N}(x) = \tau_{N}(y) \ \& \ u = \langle 0, 2\langle x, y \rangle + 1 \rangle \ \& \ x, y \in Dom(\tau_{N}) \ \& \ \tau_{N}(x) \neq_{N} \tau_{N}(y) ).$

**Definition 3.3 ([4]).** For an N-enumeration  $f_N : \omega \to N$  and a structure  $\mathfrak{A}(\Sigma_1, \ldots, \Sigma_k)$  with predicates  $\Sigma_i \subseteq N^{a_i} \times \omega^{b_i}$ , define

$$f_N \models_{\mathfrak{A}} F_e(z)$$
 if and only if  $z \in \Psi_e(f_N^{-1}(\mathfrak{A}))$ .

**Definition 3.4** ([4]). We say that the predicate  $\Sigma \subseteq N^a \times \omega^b$  has a normal form in the structure  $\mathfrak{A}(\Sigma_1, \ldots, \Sigma_k)$  if there exist  $e \in \omega$ , an N-string  $\delta_N$  and  $x_1, \ldots, x_a \notin Dom(\delta_N)$  such that for all  $s_1, \ldots, s_a \in N$  and for all  $y_1, \ldots, y_b \in \omega$ ,  $(s_1, \ldots, s_a, y_1, \ldots, y_b) \in \Sigma$  iff  $\exists \tau_N \supseteq \delta_N$  such that  $\forall_{1 \leq i \leq a} (\tau_N(x_i) = s_i) \& \tau_N \models_{\mathfrak{A}} F_e(\langle x_1, \ldots, x_a, y_1, \ldots, y_b \rangle)$ .

The next theorem is a corollary from the Normal Form Theorem in [4] for the case of two-sort structures.

Theorem 3.1 (Normal Form Theorem). Let  $\mathfrak{A}(\Sigma_1, \ldots, \Sigma_k)$  be a structure with predicates  $\Sigma_i \leq N^{a_i} \times \omega^{b_i}$ . Then every predicate  $\Sigma \subseteq N^a \times \omega^b$ , where  $\Sigma$  is search computable in  $\mathfrak{A}$ , has a normal form in  $\mathfrak{A}$ .

# 4. GENERIC PREDICATES

**Definition 4.1.** 1) Let  $\Sigma \subseteq N^a \times \omega^b$  be a predicate. We define the *characteristic function* of  $\Sigma$  to be the function  $\chi_{\Sigma}: N^* \longrightarrow N^*$ , defined as follows:

$$\chi_{\Sigma}(s) = \begin{cases} 0^*, & \text{if } s = \langle s_1, \dots, s_a, x_1^*, \dots, x_b^* \rangle & \& (s_1, \dots, s_a, x_1, \dots, x_b) \in \Sigma, \\ 1^*, & \text{if } s = \langle s_1, \dots, s_a, x_1^*, \dots, x_b^* \rangle & \& (s_1, \dots, s_a, x_1, \dots, x_b) \not\in \Sigma, \\ \uparrow, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

- 2) Let  $\mathcal{F}_{a,b}$ , where  $a+b \geq 1$ , be the set of all partial functions  $\varphi \in \mathcal{F}$  such that  $Dom(\varphi) \subseteq \{\langle s_1, \ldots, s_a, x_1^*, \ldots, x_b^* \rangle \mid (s_1, \ldots, s_a, x_1, \ldots, x_b) \in \mathbb{N}^a \times \omega^b \}$  and  $Range(\varphi) \subseteq \omega^*$ .
- 3) Define (a, b)-string to be a finite function  $\alpha \in \mathcal{F}_{a,b}$  with  $Range(\alpha) \subseteq \{0^*, 1^*\}$ . We may define the code of the (a, b)-string  $\alpha$  (denote  $\lceil \alpha \rceil$ ) to be  $\langle k^*, \langle s_1, \alpha(s_1) \rangle$ , ...,  $\langle s_k, \alpha(s_k) \rangle \rangle \in N^*$  if  $Dom(\alpha) = \{s_1, \ldots, s_k\}$ ; and  $\lceil \varnothing \rceil = 0^*$  for the empty function.

**Remark.** Since an (a,b)-string may have more than one (but only finitely many) different codes, by  $\alpha \in S^* \subseteq N$  we mean that there exists a code of  $\alpha$ , which belongs to the set  $S^*$ ; respectively,  $\alpha \notin S^*$  means there is no code of  $\alpha$  that belongs to the set. We say that  $S^*$  is a set of codes of (a,b)-strings when each element is a code of some (a,b)-string, it is not necessary for  $S^*$  to contain all the codes of an (a,b)-string.

4) Semi-characteristic function of the set  $S^* \subseteq N$  we call the function  $C_{S^*}: N^* \longrightarrow N^*$ , defined as follows:

$$C_{S^*}(s) \cong \begin{cases} 0^*, & \text{if } s \in S^*, \\ \uparrow, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

For a given set  $S^* \subseteq N^*$  and structure  $\mathfrak{B}(\Sigma_1, \ldots, \Sigma_k)$ , we write  $S^* \in \mathbf{SC}(\mathfrak{B})$  when  $C_{S^*} \in \mathbf{SC}(\hat{=}_N, \hat{\neq}_N, \hat{\Sigma}_1, \ldots, \hat{\Sigma}_k)$ .

5) For every a and b, which are not both zero, and every function  $\varphi \in \mathcal{F}_{a,b}$ , we define the graph-predicate of  $\varphi$  to be the predicate  $\Sigma_{\varphi} \subseteq N^a \times \omega^{b+1}$  such that for all  $s_1, \ldots, s_a \in N$  and  $x_1, \ldots, x_b, y \in \omega$ ,  $(s_1, \ldots, s_a, x_1, \ldots, x_b, y) \in \Sigma_{\varphi}$  iff  $\varphi(\langle s_1, \ldots, s_a, x_1^*, \ldots, x_b^* \rangle) = y^*$ .

**Definition 4.2.** Given a structure  $\mathfrak{B}(\Sigma_1,\ldots,\Sigma_k)$ , we say that the predicate  $\Sigma\subseteq N^a\times\omega^b$  is  $\mathfrak{B}$ -generic if for every set  $S^*\subseteq N^*$  of codes of (a,b)-strings such that  $S^*\in\mathbf{SC}(\mathfrak{B})$ , the following holds:

$$\exists \alpha \subseteq \chi_{\Sigma} (\alpha \in S^* \vee \forall \beta \supseteq \alpha (\beta \not\in S^*)).$$

Note. If we consider a structure  $\mathfrak{B}(B)$  with one predicate of naturals and a predicate  $\Sigma \subseteq \omega$ , then  $\Sigma$  is  $\mathfrak{B}$ -generic in the sense of Definition 4.2 if and only if the set  $\Sigma$  is B-generic set of natural numbers in the classical sense. The proof uses the definition of  $\mathbf{SC}$  via enumerations (2.1).

**Proposition 4.1.** For every structure  $\mathfrak{B} = \mathfrak{B}(\Sigma_1, \ldots, \Sigma_k)$  and  $a, b \in \omega$ , such that  $a + b \geq 1$ , there exists a  $\mathfrak{B}$ -generic predicate  $\Sigma \subseteq N^a \times \omega^b$ .

*Proof.* For brevity, in this proof and from now on  $\overline{x}$  will denote a finite sequence of elements (an appropriate number of them).

We can find such  $\Sigma$  by building its characteristic function as a union of (a, b)strings, that we build at stages, such that at even stages we satisfy the requirements  $Dom(\chi_{\Sigma})$  to be a domain of a predicate's characteristic function and at odd stages
– the genericity.

Let us have some enumeration  $S_0^*, \ldots, S_n^*, \ldots$  of the domains of the partial functions from  $\mathbf{SC}(\mathfrak{B})$ , i.e.  $S_n^* = Dom(\varphi)$  for  $\varphi \in \mathbf{SC}(\mathfrak{B})$ .

Stage 0. Define  $\alpha_0 = \emptyset$ .

Stage 2n+1. We have defined  $\alpha_q$  for q=2n. Let  $\langle \overline{s}, \overline{x}^* \rangle \in N^*$  be such that  $(\overline{s}, \overline{x})$  is the least according to some order in  $N^a \times \omega^b$  element for which  $\langle \overline{s}, \overline{x}^* \rangle \notin Dom(\alpha)$ . Define  $\alpha_{q+1}$  to extend  $\alpha_q$  with one new argument, i.e. such that  $\alpha_{q+1}(\langle s_1, \ldots, s_a, x_1^*, \ldots, x_b^* \rangle) = 0^*$ .

Stage 2n+2. We have defined  $\alpha_q$  for q=2n+1.

Case 1. If there exists in  $S_n^*$  an (a,b)-string  $\beta$ , extending  $\alpha_q$ , define  $\alpha_{q+1}$  to be the first such  $\beta$ .

Case 2. Otherwise, define  $\alpha_{q+1} = \alpha_q$ .

Finally, we can define  $\chi_{\Sigma} = \bigcup_{q=0}^{\infty} \alpha_q$ , that is the characteristic function of some  $\mathfrak{B}$ -generic predicate.  $\square$ 

**Proposition 4.2.** Let  $\mathfrak{B}$  be an abstract structure and  $\Sigma \subseteq N^a \times \omega^b$  be a  $\mathfrak{B}$ -generic predicate. Then the following holds:

P1) The predicate  $\overline{\Sigma} \subseteq N^a \times \omega^b$  is  $\mathfrak{B}$ -generic.

- P2) There is no infinite predicate  $C \subseteq N^a \times \omega^b$  such that  $C \leq_{SC} \mathfrak{B}$  and  $C \subseteq \Sigma$ .
- P3)  $\Sigma$  is infinite.
- P4) Σ ≰<sub>SC</sub> 𝔞.

*Proof.* Each of (P3) and (P4) follows directly from the previous properties. To prove (P1), we may assume it is false. Therefore there is a set of codes of (a, b)-strings, namely  $P^* \in \mathbf{SC}(\mathfrak{B})$ , such that:

(a) 
$$\forall \alpha \subseteq \chi_{\overline{\Sigma}} \ (\alpha \notin P^* \& \exists \beta \supseteq \alpha (\beta \in P^*)).$$

There is a recursive function translating (codes of) (a, b)-strings into their reverse, e.g. the reverse of  $\alpha$  being the (a, b)-string  $\overline{\alpha}$ , such that  $\forall s \in Dom(\alpha)$ ,  $\alpha(x) = 0^*$  iff  $\overline{\alpha}(x) = 1^*$ . Thus the set  $S^* = \{\alpha \mid \overline{\alpha} \in P^*\} \in \mathbf{SC}(\mathfrak{B})$  and therefore there exists an  $\alpha \subseteq \chi_{\Sigma}$  (and therefore  $\overline{\alpha} \subseteq \chi_{\overline{\Sigma}}$ ) such that the next (1) or (2) holds:

- (1)  $\alpha \in S^*$ . Then  $\overline{\alpha} \in P^*$  and  $\overline{\alpha} \subseteq \chi_{\overline{\Sigma}}$ , which is a contradiction with (a).
- (2)  $\forall \beta \supseteq \alpha \ (\beta \not\in S^*)$ . But from (a) for  $\overline{\alpha}$  follows there exists an (a,b)-string  $\beta \in P^*$  extending  $\overline{\alpha}$ . Since  $\overline{\overline{\beta}} = \beta$ , we have that  $\beta \in S^*$  and  $\beta \supseteq \alpha$ , which is a contradiction.

In both cases we have found a contradiction, therefore  $\Sigma$  is  $\mathfrak{B}$ -generic.

To prove (P2), we may assume there exists such  $C \subseteq N^a \times \omega^b$  and define a set  $S^* = \{\alpha \mid \exists s_1, \ldots, s_a \in N, \exists y_1, \ldots, y_b \in \omega \ ((s_1, \ldots, s_a, y_1, \ldots, y_b) \in C \& \alpha(\langle s_1, \ldots, s_a, y_1^*, \ldots, y_b^* \rangle) = 1^*)\}$ , that will lead to contradiction.  $\square$ 

**Definition 4.3.** Let us define the structure  $\mathfrak{A}(\Sigma_1^{\mathfrak{A}}, \ldots, \Sigma_n^{\mathfrak{A}})$  to be total iff  $\overline{\Sigma_i^{\mathfrak{A}}} \leq_{\mathbf{SC}} \mathfrak{A}$  for  $1 \leq i \leq n$ . The generalization of the *quasi-minimal* and the *minimal-like* structure (see [1]) will have the following form:

- 1. A is quasi-minimal over B if the following two conditions hold:
- $\mathfrak{B} \leq_{\mathbf{SC}} \mathfrak{A}$  and  $\mathfrak{A} \not\leq_{\mathbf{SC}} \mathfrak{B}$ ;
- For every total structure  $\mathfrak{C}$ , if  $\mathfrak{C} \leq_{\mathbf{SC}} \mathfrak{A}$ , then  $\mathfrak{C} \leq_{\mathbf{SC}} \mathfrak{B}$ .
- 2. A is minimal-like over B if the following two conditions hold:
- $\mathfrak{B} \leq_{\mathbf{SC}} \mathfrak{A}$  and  $\mathfrak{A} \not\leq_{\mathbf{SC}} \mathfrak{B}$ ;
- For every function  $\varphi \in \mathcal{F}_{a,b}$ , if  $\varphi \in \mathbf{SC}(\mathfrak{A})$ , there exists a function  $\psi \in \mathcal{F}_{a,b}$  such that  $\varphi \subseteq \psi$  and  $\psi \in \mathbf{SC}(\mathfrak{B})$ .

For the (a,b)-string  $\alpha$  we define a predicate  $\alpha^+$  to be the set

$$\{(s_1,\ldots,s_a,x_1,\ldots,x_b) \mid \alpha(\langle s_1,\ldots,s_a,x_1^*,\ldots,x_b^* \rangle) = 0^* \}.$$

If  $\Sigma_0$  is a predicate and  $\mathfrak{B}(\Sigma_1,\ldots,\Sigma_k)$  is a structure, we denote by  $\Sigma_0 \oplus \mathfrak{B}$  the two-sort structure with predicates  $\Sigma_0,\Sigma_1,\ldots,\Sigma_k$ .

**Proposition 4.3.** For given  $\mathfrak{B}(\Sigma_1, \ldots, \Sigma_k)$  and  $\mathfrak{B}$ -generic predicate  $\Sigma_0$ , the structure  $\Sigma_0 \oplus \mathfrak{B}$  is minimal-like over  $\mathfrak{B}$ .

*Proof.* Since  $\Sigma_0$  is  $\mathfrak{B}$ -generic,  $\Sigma_0 \not\leq_{\mathbf{SC}} \mathfrak{B}$  and therefore  $\mathfrak{B} \not\leq_{\mathbf{SC}} \Sigma_0 \oplus \mathfrak{B}$ . Let  $(a_i, b_i)$  be the arity of the predicate  $\Sigma_i \subseteq N^{a_i} \times \omega^{b_i}$ .

For  $\varphi \in \mathcal{F}_{a,b}$ , such that  $\varphi \in \mathbf{SC}(\Sigma_0 \oplus \mathfrak{B})$ , we define its graph-predicate  $\Sigma_{\varphi}$  for which  $\widehat{\Sigma}_{\varphi} \in \mathbf{SC}(\Sigma_0 \oplus \mathfrak{B})$ , i.e.  $\Sigma_{\varphi} \leq_{\mathbf{SC}} \Sigma_0 \oplus \mathfrak{B}$ , and from the Normal Form Theorem 3.1 it follows that  $\Sigma_{\varphi}$  has a normal form in  $\Sigma_0 \oplus \mathfrak{B}$ , i.e. there are  $e \in \omega$ , an N-string  $\delta_N$  and  $z_1, \ldots, z_a \notin Dom(\delta_N)$  such that for all  $s_1, \ldots, s_a \in N$  and  $x_1, \ldots, x_b, y \in \omega$ ,  $(s_1, \ldots, s_a, x_1, \ldots, x_b, y) \in \Sigma_{\varphi}$  iff  $\exists \tau_N \supseteq \delta_N$ , where  $(\tau_N(z_i) = s_i \& \tau_N \Vdash_{\Sigma_0 \oplus \mathfrak{B}} F_e(\langle z_1, \ldots, z_a, x_1, \ldots, x_b, y \rangle)$ . If we denote by  $P_{a,b}$  the set of codes of all (a,b)-strings and by  $P_N$  the set of all codes of N-strings, we may define the set  $S^*$  to be the set of all  $\beta_0 \in P_{a_0,b_0}$  for which there exist  $\beta_i \in P_{a_i,b_i}$  for  $\forall_{1 \le i \le k}$ , such that  $\beta_i^+ \subseteq \Sigma_i$ , and there exist  $\tau_N^1, \tau_N^2 \in P_N$ , both extending  $\delta_N$  and such that  $z_1, \ldots, z_a \in Dom(\tau_N^1) \cap Dom(\tau_N^2)$ , and there exist natural numbers  $x_1, \ldots, x_b \in \omega, y_1 \ne y_2 \in \omega$ , such that  $\tau_N^e \Vdash_{\mathfrak{A}(\beta_0^+,\beta_1^+,\ldots,\beta_k^+)} F_e(\langle z_1,\ldots,z_a,x_1,\ldots,x_b,y_\varepsilon \rangle)$  for each  $\varepsilon \in \{1,2\}$ , where  $\mathfrak{A}(\beta_0^+,\beta_1^+,\ldots,\beta_k^+)$  denotes the structure with finite predicates  $\beta_i^+ \subseteq N^{a_i} \times \omega^{b_i}$ . Therefore  $S^* \in \mathfrak{B}$  and there is an  $(a_0,b_0)$ -string  $\alpha \subseteq \chi_{\Sigma_0}$  such that  $\alpha \in S^*$  or  $\forall \beta \supseteq \alpha \ (\beta \not\in S^*)$ .

In the first case, since  $\alpha \subseteq \chi_{\Sigma_0}$ , then  $\alpha^+ \subseteq \Sigma_0$ , and from  $\tau_N^{\varepsilon} \Vdash_{\mathfrak{A}(\alpha^+,\beta_1^+,\ldots,\beta_k^+)} F_e(\langle z_1,\ldots,z_a,x_1,\ldots,x_b,y_{\varepsilon} \rangle)$  follows that  $\tau_N^{\varepsilon} \Vdash_{\Sigma_0 \oplus \mathfrak{B}} F_e(\langle z_1,\ldots,z_a,x_1,\ldots,x_b,y_{\varepsilon} \rangle)$ , and using the normal form of  $\Sigma_{\varphi}$  we obtain a contradiction. So, it remains the second case  $\forall \beta \supseteq \alpha(\beta \not\in S^*)$  and now we can define a predicate  $\Sigma_{\psi}$  as follows:  $\Sigma_{\psi} = \{(s_1,\ldots,s_a,x_1,\ldots,x_b,y) \mid (\exists \beta_0 \in P_{a_0,b_0},\ldots,\exists \beta_k \in P_{a_k,b_k}, \exists \tau_N \in P_N) \}$  such that  $(\beta_0 \supseteq \alpha \& \forall_{1\leq i\leq k} \beta_i^+ \subseteq \Sigma_i \& \tau_N \supseteq \delta_N \& \forall_{1\leq j\leq a} \tau_N(z_j) = s_j \& \tau_N \Vdash_{\mathfrak{A}(\beta_0^+,\beta_1^+,\ldots,\beta_k^+)} F_e(\langle z_1,\ldots,z_a,x_1,\ldots,x_b,y\rangle)$ , which is the graph-predicate of some function  $\psi$  and it is search computable in  $\mathfrak{B}$ , therefore  $\psi \in \mathbf{SC}(\mathfrak{B})$ .

Using the above definition and the normal form of  $\Sigma_{\varphi}$ , it is not difficult to verify that  $\Sigma_{\varphi} \subseteq \Sigma_{\psi}$ , from which follows that  $\varphi \subseteq \psi$ , and this proves our proposition.  $\square$ 

Given a structure  $\mathfrak{C}(\Sigma_1,\ldots,\Sigma_k)$  and a predicate  $\Sigma\subseteq N^a\times\omega^b$ , if  $\Sigma\leq_{\mathbf{SC}}\mathfrak{C}$  and  $\overline{\Sigma}\leq_{\mathbf{SC}}\mathfrak{C}$ , then its characteristic function  $\chi_{\Sigma}\in\mathbf{SC}(\mathfrak{C})$ . This fact can be used to prove the following:

**Proposition 4.4.** Given a structure  $\mathfrak{B}(\Sigma_1, \ldots, \Sigma_k)$  and a  $\mathfrak{B}$ -generic predicate  $\Sigma$ , the structure  $\Sigma \oplus \mathfrak{B}$  with predicates  $\Sigma, \Sigma_1, \ldots, \Sigma_k$  is quasi-minimal over  $\mathfrak{B}$ .

The above is true for a single predicate, but not in the general case with multiple  $\mathfrak{B}$ -generic predicates. For example, for any total structure  $\mathfrak{A}(\Sigma, \overline{\Sigma})$  with  $\mathfrak{B}$ -generic predicates  $\Sigma$  and  $\overline{\Sigma} \subseteq N^a \times \omega^b$ , the structure  $\mathfrak{A} \oplus \mathfrak{B}$  is not quasi-minimal over  $\mathfrak{B}$ .

# 5. GENERIC REGULAR ENUMERATIONS

The regular enumerations are introduced by I. Soskov in [5] and here we shall use their modification for two-sort structures. An enumeration for two-sort structures is the pair  $f = (f_N, f_\omega)$ , where  $f_N : \omega \to N$  and  $f_\omega : \omega \to \omega$  are total surjective functions.

 $Gr(f_N) = \{(s,x) \mid f_N(x) = s\} \subseteq N \times \omega$  is the graph of  $f_N$ .

 $Gr(f_{\omega}) = \{\langle x, y \rangle \mid f_{\omega}(x) = y\} \subseteq \omega \text{ is the graph of } f_{\omega}.$ 

The enumerations  $f = (f_N, f_\omega)$  define a unique structure  $\mathfrak{A}(Gr(f_N), Gr(f_\omega))$ , denoted by  $\mathfrak{A}_f$ .

Since every two-sort structure (with finite number of predicates) is equivalent, in terms of search computability, to a structure with one predicate, in this section we consider only structures with one predicate.

**Definition 5.1.** Given a structure  $\mathfrak{B}(\Sigma^{\mathfrak{B}})$  with one predicate  $\varnothing \neq \Sigma^{\mathfrak{B}} \subseteq N^a \times \omega^b$ , we say that the enumeration  $f = (f_N, f_\omega)$  is  $\mathfrak{B}$ -regular if the function  $f_\omega$  is an  $f_N^{-1}(\Sigma^{\mathfrak{B}})$ -regular enumeration of  $\omega$  in the sense of [5] and [6], i.e.  $f_\omega$  is a total surjective mapping of  $\omega$  onto  $\omega$  such that  $f_\omega(2\omega) = f_N^{-1}(\Sigma^{\mathfrak{B}})$ .

**Definition 5.2.** 1) A pair of strings  $\tau = (\tau_N, \tau_\omega)$  is the pair of an N-string  $\tau_N : \omega \longrightarrow N$  and an  $\omega$ -string  $\tau_\omega : \omega \longrightarrow \omega$  (see Definition 3.1). The pair  $\varnothing = (\varnothing_N, \varnothing_\omega)$  is referred as the empty pair of strings.

- 2) Given a structure  $\mathfrak{B}(\Sigma^{\mathfrak{B}})$  with predicate  $\varnothing \neq \Sigma^{\mathfrak{B}} \subseteq N^a \times \omega^b$ , we say that the pair of strings  $\tau = (\tau_N, \tau_\omega)$  is  $\mathfrak{B}$ -regular if  $\tau_\omega(2\omega) \subseteq \tau_N^{-1}(\Sigma^{\mathfrak{B}})$ , where  $\tau_N^{-1}(\Sigma^{\mathfrak{B}}) = \{\langle x_1, \ldots, x_a, y_1, \ldots, y_b \rangle \in Dom(\tau_N)^a \times \omega^b \mid \& (\tau_N(x_1), \ldots, \tau_N(x_a), y_1, \ldots, y_b) \in \Sigma^{\mathfrak{B}} \}$  and  $\tau_\omega(2\omega) = \{y \mid \exists x \ (\tau_\omega(2x) = y)\}.$
- 3) The  $N^*$ -code of  $\tau = (\tau_N, \tau_\omega)$  is denoted by  $\lceil \tau \rceil^*$  and defined to be the pair of codes  $\lceil \tau \rceil^* = \langle \lceil \tau_N \rceil^*, \lceil \tau_\omega \rceil^* \rangle$ , where  $\lceil \tau_N \rceil^* = \langle n^*, \langle 1^*, \tau_N(1) \rangle, \ldots, \langle n^*, \tau_N(n) \rangle \rangle$  and  $\lceil \tau_\omega \rceil^* = \langle m^*, \langle 1^*, (\tau_\omega(1))^* \rangle, \ldots, \langle m^*, (\tau_\omega(m))^* \rangle \rangle$ ,  $n = lh(\tau_N)$  and  $m = lh(\tau_\omega)$ ; define  $\lceil \varnothing_N \rceil^* = 0^*$  and  $\lceil \varnothing_\omega \rceil^* = 0^*$ .
- 4) We say that  $\tau$  extends  $\sigma$ , write  $\sigma \subseteq \tau$ , if both  $\sigma_N \subseteq \tau_N$  and  $\sigma_\omega \subseteq \tau_\omega$ . For an enumeration  $f = (f_N, f_\omega)$  and a pair of strings  $\tau = (\tau_N, \tau_\omega)$  we say that  $\tau \subseteq f$  when both  $\tau_N \subseteq f_N$  and  $\tau_\omega \subseteq f_\omega$ .

**Remark.** Given a structure  $\mathfrak{B}(\Sigma^{\mathfrak{B}})$ , let  $Reg_{\mathfrak{B}}$  denote the set of codes of all  $\mathfrak{B}$ -regular pairs of strings. Thus  $\tau \in Reg_{\mathfrak{B}} \Leftrightarrow \tau_{\omega}(2\omega) \subseteq \tau_N^{-1}(\Sigma^{\mathfrak{B}})$ , and therefore  $Reg_{\mathfrak{B}} \in \mathbf{SC}(\mathfrak{B})$ .

**Definition 5.3.** Given a structure  $\mathfrak{B}(\Sigma^{\mathfrak{B}})$  with predicate  $\emptyset \neq \Sigma^{\mathfrak{B}} \subseteq N^a \times \omega^b$ , we say that  $f = (f_N, f_\omega)$  is a  $\mathfrak{B}$ -generic regular enumeration if it is  $\mathfrak{B}$ -regular enumeration and for every set  $S^* \subseteq N^*$  of codes of  $\mathfrak{B}(\Sigma^{\mathfrak{B}})$ -regular pairs of strings, for which  $S^* \in \mathbf{SC}(\mathfrak{B})$ , there exists a pair of strings  $\tau \subseteq f$  such that  $\tau \in S^*$  or  $\forall \sigma \supseteq \tau \ (\sigma \notin S^*)$ .

**Proposition 5.1.** For every structure  $\mathfrak{B}(\Sigma^{\mathfrak{B}})$  with one predicate  $\emptyset \neq \Sigma^{\mathfrak{B}} \subseteq N^a \times \omega^b$ , there exists a  $\mathfrak{B}$ -generic regular enumeration  $f = (f_N, f_{\omega})$ .

*Proof.* Let  $S_0^*, \ldots, S_n^*, \ldots$  be a sequence of all the sets  $S^* \in \mathbf{SC}(\mathfrak{B})$  and  $s_0, \ldots, s_n, \ldots$  be all the elements of N. We can build a  $\mathfrak{B}$ -generic regular enumeration in the standard way starting from the empty pair of strings and building an increasing sequence of  $\mathfrak{B}$ -regular pair of strings such that at even stages we

will monitor the *n*-th set  $S_n^*$  and take care to satisfy the requirements for genericity. At odd stages we will satisfy  $\tau_\omega^q(2\omega) \subseteq (\tau_\omega^q)^{-1}(\Sigma^{\mathfrak{B}})$  and in the same time  $f_N^{-1} \subseteq f_\omega(2\omega)$ , as follows:

Suppose at Stage 2n+1 we have defined  $\tau_q = (\tau_N^q, \tau_\omega^q)$  for q = 2n. We may define  $\tau_N^{q+1}$  to extend  $\tau_N^q$ , so that for  $x = lh(\tau_N^q)$ ,  $\tau_N^{q+1}(x) = s_n$ . For the set  $(\tau_N^{q+1})^{-1}(\Sigma^{\mathfrak{B}})$  we have two possibilities: if it is empty, define  $\tau_\omega^{q+1} = \tau_\omega^q$ ; otherwise,  $(\tau_N^{q+1})^{-1}(\Sigma^{\mathfrak{B}}) \neq \emptyset$ . In this case we consider the set  $A_q = (\tau_N^{q+1})^{-1}(\Sigma^{\mathfrak{B}}) \setminus \tau_\omega^q(2\omega)$  and define  $\tau_\omega^{q+1}$  to extend  $\tau_\omega^q$  such that in the first odd number  $x_1 \notin Dom(\tau_\omega^q)$  define  $\tau_\omega^{q+1}(x_1) = n$ , and in the first even number  $x_0 \notin Dom(\tau_\omega^q)$  define  $\tau_\omega^{q+1}(x_0)$  to be the first  $y \in A_q$  if  $A_q \neq \emptyset$ , or the first  $y \in (\tau_N^{q+1})^{-1}(\Sigma^{\mathfrak{B}})$  if  $A_q = \emptyset$ .

In this way we obtain the desired enumeration.

To prove the following proposition and the lemma, it may be convenient to define two notations for a (0,1)-string  $\alpha$  and an N-string  $\tau_N$ :

 $cmp(\alpha, \tau_N)$  if and only if  $\forall x \in \omega \ (x^* \in Dom(\alpha) \Leftrightarrow x \in Dom(\tau_N))$ ,  $\alpha \sim_{\Sigma} \tau_N$  if and only if  $\forall x^* \in Dom(\alpha) \ (\alpha(x^*) = 0^* \Leftrightarrow \tau_N(x) \in \Sigma)$ .

**Proposition 5.2.** For a structure  $\mathfrak{B}(\Sigma^{\mathfrak{B}})$  with one predicate  $\emptyset \neq \Sigma^{\mathfrak{B}} \subseteq N^a \times \omega^b$  and a  $\mathfrak{B}$ -generic regular enumeration  $f = (f_N, f_\omega)$  the following properties hold:

- $(1) \mathfrak{B} \leq_{SC} \mathfrak{A}_f.$
- (2) Af ∠SC B.
- (3) For every predicate  $\Sigma \subseteq N^a \times \omega^b$ , if  $\Sigma \leq_{SC} \mathfrak{B}$  and  $\overline{\Sigma} \leq_{SC} \mathfrak{A}_f$ , then  $\overline{\Sigma} \leq_{SC} \mathfrak{B}$ .
- (4) For every predicate  $\Sigma \subseteq N$ , if  $\varnothing \neq \Sigma \leq_{SC} \mathfrak{B}$  and  $\varnothing \neq \overline{\Sigma} \leq_{SC} \mathfrak{B}$ , then  $f_N^{-1}(\Sigma)$  is a  $\mathfrak{B}$ -generic predicate.
- (5) For every predicate  $\Sigma \subseteq N$ , if  $\emptyset \neq \Sigma \leq_{SC} \mathfrak{B}$  and  $\emptyset \neq \overline{\Sigma} \leq_{SC} \mathfrak{B}$ , the structure  $\mathfrak{A}(f_N^{-1}(\Sigma), \Sigma^{\mathfrak{B}})$  is quasi-minimal over  $\mathfrak{B}$ .

**Proof.** These properties follow easily from the definitions and the properties of the enumerations. For example, for the proof of (4) we may assume that  $f_N^{-1}(\Sigma)$  is not a  $\mathfrak{B}$ -generic predicate. Then there exists a set of (0,1)-strings S that fails the genericity, and consider the set of  $\mathfrak{B}$ -regular pairs of strings:

$$P^* = \{ \tau \in Reg_{\mathfrak{B}} \mid \exists \alpha \in S(cmp(\alpha, \tau_N) \& \alpha \sim_{\Sigma} \tau_N) \}.$$

Since for each  $\tau$  there is a unique  $\alpha$  such that  $cmp(\alpha, \tau_N)$  and  $\alpha \sim_{\Sigma} \tau_N$ , and for each  $\alpha$  there is such  $\tau_N$ , we can obtain a contradiction with the genericity of f.  $\square$ 

**Lemma 5.1.** Given a structure  $\mathfrak{B}(\Sigma^{\mathfrak{B}})$  with  $\varnothing \neq \Sigma^{\mathfrak{B}} \subseteq N^a \times \omega^b$ , a pair of strings  $\delta$ , a  $\mathfrak{B}$ -generic predicate  $A \subseteq \omega$  and a predicate  $\Sigma \subseteq N$ , such that  $\varnothing \neq \Sigma \leq_{SC} \mathfrak{B}$  and  $\varnothing \neq \overline{\Sigma} \leq_{SC} \mathfrak{B}$ , for which the following two conditions hold:

- (1)  $\delta$  is  $\mathfrak{B}$ -regular;
- $(2) \forall x < lh(\delta_N) \ (x \in A \Leftrightarrow \delta_N(x) \in \Sigma),$

if  $S^* \subseteq N^*$  is a set of codes of  $\mathfrak{B}$ -regular pairs of strings and  $S \leq_{SC} \mathfrak{B}$ , then there exists a pair of strings  $\sigma$  with the following properties:

- (a)  $\sigma \supset \delta$ ;
- (b) σ is B-regular;
- (c)  $\forall x < lh(\sigma_N) \ (x \in A \Leftrightarrow \sigma_N(x) \in \Sigma) \ (this is the property (2) for \sigma);$
- (d)  $\sigma \in S \vee \forall \tau (\tau \supseteq \sigma \Rightarrow \tau \notin S)$ .

*Proof.* The proof is very similar to the one of the corresponding lemma in the classical case (Lemma 2.4. in [6]).  $\square$ 

**Proposition 5.3.** Given a structure  $\mathfrak{B}(\Sigma^{\mathfrak{B}})$  with  $\emptyset \neq \Sigma^{\mathfrak{B}}$ , a  $\mathfrak{B}$ -generic predicate  $A \subseteq \omega$ , and a predicate  $\Sigma \subseteq N$ , such that  $\emptyset \neq \Sigma \leq_{SC} \mathfrak{B}$  and  $\emptyset \neq \overline{\Sigma} \leq_{SC} \mathfrak{B}$ , there exists a  $\mathfrak{B}$ -generic regular enumeration f such that  $A = f_N^{-1}(\Sigma)$ .

*Proof.* We can build f by the standard construction of increasing sequence of pairs of strings  $\sigma_q$  (starting from the empty pair of strings) with the properties (1) and (2) from the above lemma. Moreover, we want them to satisfy four additional properties:

- (3)  $\exists n \forall e \geq n \ \left( lh(\sigma_N^{2e+1}) \geq lh(\sigma_N^{2e}) \text{ and } lh(\sigma_\omega^{2e+1}) \geq lh(\sigma_\omega^{2e}) \right);$ (4)  $\forall s \in N \ \exists e \ (s \in Range(\sigma_N^{2e+1})) \text{ and } \forall y \in \omega \ \exists e \ (y \in Range(\sigma_\omega^{2e+1}));$
- (5)  $\forall p \ \forall x \in (\sigma_N^p)^{-1}(\Sigma^{\mathfrak{B}}) \ \exists e \ (x \in \sigma_\omega^{2e+1}(2\omega));$
- (6)  $\forall e \text{ (if } S_e \subseteq Reg_{\mathfrak{B}}, \text{ then } (\sigma_{2e+2} \in S_e \vee \forall \tau \supseteq \sigma_{2e+2} \ (\tau \notin S_e))$ ), where  $S_e$  is the e-th search computable in  $\mathfrak{B}$  set in some given enumeration of all the sets from  $SC(\mathfrak{B})$ , and  $Reg_{\mathfrak{B}}$  is the set of the  $\mathfrak{B}$ -regular pair of strings.

These requirements guarantee that  $f = \bigcup_{q=0}^{\infty} \sigma_q$  will be a  $\mathfrak{B}$ -generic regular enuation and  $A = f_{-1}^{-1}(\Sigma)$ . meration and  $A = f_N^{-1}(\Sigma)$ .

Stage 2e+1. Suppose  $\sigma_q$  is defined for q=2e. Define  $\sigma_N^{q+1}$  to extend  $\sigma_N^q$ with new elements and to have the property (2) defined in the previous lemma. If  $(\sigma_N^q)^{-1}(\Sigma^{\mathfrak{B}})$  is empty, we define  $\sigma_{\omega}^{q+1} = \sigma_{\omega}^q$ , otherwise define  $\sigma_{\omega}^{q+1}$  to extend  $\sigma_{\omega}^q$ with the first two elements  $x_0 \in 2\omega \setminus Dom(\sigma_\omega^q)$  and  $x_1 \in (2\omega + 1) \setminus Dom(\sigma_\omega^q)$  for which:

- $\sigma_{\omega}^{q+1}(x_1)$  = the first y such that  $y \notin Range(\sigma_{\omega}^q)$ ;
- $\sigma_{\omega}^{q+1}(x_0)$  = the first y such that  $y \in (\sigma_{\omega}^q)^{-1}(\Sigma^{\mathfrak{B}}) \setminus \sigma_{\omega}^q(2\omega)$  if not empty, or the first  $y \in (\sigma_{\omega}^q)^{-1}(\Sigma^{\mathfrak{B}})$  otherwise.

Stage 2e+2. Suppose  $\sigma_q$  is defined for q=2e+1. Let G be the set of all pairs of strings having the properties (1) and (2) from the previous lemma. We have two possibilities:

Case 1.  $\exists \sigma \supseteq \sigma_q \ (\sigma \in G \& (\sigma \in S_e \lor \forall \tau \supseteq \sigma \ (\tau \not\in S_e)))$ . Define  $\sigma_{q+1}$  to be the first such  $\sigma$ .

Case 2. Otherwise, define  $\sigma_{q+1} = \sigma_q$ .

Now it can be verified that this construction meets the requirements (3) - (6), defined earlier in the current proof. For example, to verify (6), we can use the previous lemma to show that Case 2 never happens if  $S_e$  is a set of  $\mathfrak{B}$ -regular pair of strings.  $\square$ 

**Theorem 5.1.** Let a structure  $\mathfrak{B}(\Sigma^{\mathfrak{B}})$  with one predicate  $\emptyset \neq \Sigma^{\mathfrak{B}} \subseteq N^a \times \omega^b$  be given. Then for any predicate  $A \subseteq \omega$ , A is  $\mathfrak{B}$ -generic if and only if there exists a predicate  $\Sigma \subseteq N$  such that  $\emptyset \neq \Sigma \leq_{SC} \mathfrak{B}$  and  $\emptyset \neq \overline{\Sigma} \leq_{SC} \mathfrak{B}$ , and there exists a  $\mathfrak{B}$ -generic regular enumeration f such that  $A = f_N^{-1}(\Sigma)$ .

*Proof.*  $(\Leftarrow)$  The Proposition 5.2(4).

(⇒) Consider the predicate  $\Sigma = \{s\}$  for which it is clear that  $\emptyset \neq \Sigma \leq_{\mathbf{SC}} \mathfrak{B}$  and  $\emptyset \neq \overline{\Sigma} \leq_{\mathbf{SC}} \mathfrak{B}$ . From the previous proposition it follows that there exists a  $\mathfrak{B}$ -generic regular enumeration f such that  $A = f_N^{-1}(\Sigma)$ .  $\square$ 

**Acknowledgments.** The author is deeply indebted to Tinko Tinchev for all the advice and encouragement in the realization of this paper.

### REFERENCES

- Copestake, K. 1-Genericity in the enumeration degrees. Journal of Symbolic Logic, 53, 1988, 878-887.
- Moschovakis, Y. N. Abstract first order computability. Transaction of the American Mathematical Society, 138, 1969, 427-464.
- Skordev, D. G. Computability in Combinatory Spaces. Kluwer Academic Publishers, 55, 1992.
- Soskov, I. N. Intrinsically Π<sup>1</sup> Relations. Mathematical Logic Quarterly, 42, 1996, 109-126.
- Soskov, I. N. A jump inversion theorem for the enumeration jump. Arch. Math. Logic, 39, 2000, 417-437.
- Boutchkova, V. Relative Set Genericity. Ann. Univ. Sofia, 94, 2001.

Received on March 1, 2002

Faculty of Mathematics and Informatics "St. Kl. Ohridski" University of Sofia 5, J. Bourchier blvd., 1164 Sofia BULGARIA

E-mail: vboutchkova@fmi.uni-sofia.bg